

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Patrick J. Seidling,

Court File No.: 10-CV-675 SRN/JJG

Plaintiff,

vs.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Michael Hummel, M.D. and
St. Paul Radiology, P.A.,

Defendants.

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find as answers to the special interrogatories submitted to us as follows:

QUESTION NO. 1:

Was Michael Hummel, M.D., negligent in his care and treatment of Patrick J. Seidling?

Yes _____

No ☒ _____

*[If you answered "yes" to question 1, answer question 2.
If you answered "no" to question 1, do not answer question 2.]*

QUESTION NO. 2:

If your answer to question 1 was "yes," then answer this question: Was the negligence of Michael Hummel, M.D., a direct cause of harm or injury to Patrick J. Seidling?

Yes _____

No _____

[If you answered "yes" to question 2, then answer questions 3 and 4.]

QUESTION NO. 3: What amount of money will fairly and adequately compensate Patrick J. Seidling for damages he experienced as a result of defendant's negligence up to the time of this verdict, in the following categories:

- A. Past pain, disability, disfigurement,
emotional distress and loss of enjoyment of life \$ _____
- B. Past health care expenses \$ _____

QUESTION NO. 4: What amount of money will fairly and adequately compensate Patrick J. Seidling for damages reasonably certain to occur in the future as a result of defendant's negligence in the following categories:

- A. Future pain, disability, disfigurement
emotional distress \$ _____
- B. Future health care expenses \$ _____

Dated this 7 day of MAY, 2012 at 9:37 o'clock A.M.

SIGNATURE REDACTED

Foreperson